

FAIRFAX INDIA HOLDINGS CORPORATION

MAJORITY VOTING POLICY

Approved by the Board of Directors on March 9, 2023

FAIRFAX INDIA HOLDINGS CORPORATION

MAJORITY VOTING POLICY

In compliance with current regulatory requirements, the board has unanimously adopted this majority voting policy, and future nominees for election to the board will be required to confirm that they will abide by this policy.

Forms of proxy for the election of directors will permit a shareholder to vote in favour of, or to vote against, separately in respect of each director nominee. The Chairman of the board will ensure that the number of shares voted in favour of or against each director nominee is recorded and promptly made public after the meeting. If the vote was by a show of hands, we will disclose the number of shares voted by proxy in favour of or against each director. In addition, any report of voting results that is publicly-filed pursuant to section 11.3 of National Instrument 51-102 – Continuous Disclosure Obligations will disclose (i) the aggregate number of votes attached to all subordinate voting shares and multiple voting shares, voting together, voted “for” and “against” in respect of each director nominee, and (ii) of the total number of votes in (i), the total number of votes attached to the subordinate voting shares, as a class, voted “for” and “against” in respect of each director nominee.

In an uncontested election of directors, any nominee for director who is not elected by at least a majority (50% + 1 vote) of the votes cast with respect to his or her election (a “Majority Vote Against”) will immediately tender his or her resignation to the Chairman of the board following our annual meeting; provided, however, that such director will be permitted to remain in office until the earlier of (i) the date upon which a successor has been appointed or elected, and (ii) the date that is 90 days following the annual general meeting during which the director received a Majority Vote Against. In this policy, an “uncontested election” means an election where the number of nominees for director are equal to the number of directors to be elected.

The director’s resignation will be effective when accepted by the board, subject to the requirements described above. Once a resignation is accepted, the board may, subject to any corporate law restrictions, (i) leave a vacancy in the board unfilled until the next annual general meeting, (ii) fill the vacancy by appointing a new director whom the board considers to merit the confidence of the shareholders, (iii) call a special meeting of shareholders to consider new board nominee(s) to fill the vacant position(s), or (iv) reduce the size of the board. Following the director’s resignation, we will promptly disclose the resignation via press release and will provide a copy of such press release to the Toronto Stock Exchange.

Any director who tenders his or her resignation pursuant to this policy will not participate in any meeting of the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee, if he or she is a member of that committee, or the board to consider when his or her resignation will be accepted. However, if each member of the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee received a Majority Vote Against in the same election, or a sufficient number of committee members such that the committee no longer has a quorum, then the independent directors will appoint a committee amongst themselves to consider the resignation.

In the event that any director who received a Majority Vote Against does not tender his or her resignation in accordance with this policy, he or she will not be re-nominated by the board.

When considering the nomination of an independent director for re-election to the board at any annual meeting, the Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee will take into account, among other things, whether or not a majority of the “for” or “against” votes cast with respect to such director at the previous annual meeting, excluding such votes attached to the multiple voting shares, were votes “for” the election of such director.

The Governance, Compensation and Nominating Committee may adopt such procedures as it sees fit to assist it in its determinations with respect to this policy.

This policy does not apply where an election involves a proxy battle (i.e., where proxy material is circulated in support of one or more nominees who are not part of the director nominees supported by the board).